

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION N	D.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/666,188	10/666,188 09/10/2003		Jeffrey Wayne Eberhard	RD-28,444-2	8797	
6147	7590	09/29/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
	AL ELEC' RESEAR	TRIC COMPANY	HO, ALLEN C			
		RM. BLDG. K1-4A59		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
NISKAY	JNA, NY	12309		2882		

DATE MAILED: 09/29/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/666,188	EBERHARD ET AL.				
Office Ac	tion Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Allen C. Ho	2882				
The MAILING Period for Reply	DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
THE MAILING DATE - Extensions of time may be after SIX (6) MONTHS fror - If the period for reply speci If NO period for reply is specified by the control of the specified by the specified b	OF THIS COMMUNICATION. available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 in the mailing date of this communication. fied above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply ecified above, the maximum statutory period v tet or extended period for reply will, by statute.	Y IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time, of within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE of date of this communication, even if timely filed	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1) Responsive to	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>12 July 2004</u> .						
2a)⊠ This action is F	FINAL. 2b) This	action is non-final.					
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4a) Of the above 5) ☐ Claim(s) 6) ☑ Claim(s) <u>36-44</u> 7) ☐ Claim(s)	Claim(s) 36-44 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 36-44 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
10) The drawing(s) Applicant may n Replacement dr	ot request that any objection to the awing sheet(s) including the correct	r. ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to be determing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is objected. ☐ Note the attached Office	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
•							
a) All b) So 1. Certified 2. Certified 3. Copies of applications.	ent is made of a claim for foreign ome * c) None of: I copies of the priority document copies of the priority document of the certified copies of the priority document from the International Bureau	s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)			111				
1) Notice of References Ci		4) Interview Summary					
	Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/666,188 Page 2

Art Unit: 2882

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

2. Claims 36-44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Wofford *et al.* (U. S. Patent No. 6,260,999 B1).

With respect to claim 36, Wofford et al. disclosed a radiation imaging system comprising: a movable radiation source (15, 17); a radiation detector (24); a collimator (19) comprising adjustable geometry aperture assembly configured such that an adjustment of the aperture geometry is synchronized with the movement of the radiation source and coordinated with the radiation source position so as to limit the incident radiation to a predetermined exposure area at the detector.

With respect to claim 37, Wofford *et al.* disclosed the imaging system of claim 36, wherein the aperture assembly is configured for adjusting at least one of the position of the aperture and the shape of the aperture.

With respect to claim 38, Wofford et al. disclosed the imaging system of claim 36, further comprising a collimator assembly comprising a collimator positioning apparatus (3) for positioning the collimator.

With respect to claims 39 and 40, Wofford et al. disclosed the imaging system of claim 36, wherein the aperture assembly comprises a plurality of movable sides (102a, 102b, 104).

With respect to claim 41, Wofford et al. disclosed the imaging system of claim 36, wherein the aperture assembly comprises multiple independently positionable sections (102a, 102b, 104) with different boundary shapes (the aperture assembly has different boundary shapes depending on the positions of the positionable sections).

With respect to claim 42, Wofford et al. disclosed the imaging system of claim 41, wherein the multiple sections have linear boundaries.

With respect to claim 43, Wofford et al. disclosed the imaging system of claim 39, wherein the plurality of sides comprise rotationally and translationally movable sides (Figs. 5A and 5B).

With respect to claim 44, Wofford et al. disclosed a method for radiation imaging, comprising: moving (3) a radiation source (15, 17) in a plurality of radiation source positions; adjusting an aperture (19) by synchronizing the aperture geometry adjustment with the movement of the radiation source and coordinating at least one of the position and the shape of the aperture with the respective position of the radiation source such that a radiation beam emanating from the radiation source is collimated to limit the incident radiation to a predetermined exposure area; and detecting the radiation beam on a radiation detector (24).

3. Claims 36-42 and 44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Brown et al. (U. S. Patent No. 5,751,781).

With respect to claim 36, Brown et al. disclosed a radiation imaging system (Figs. 10-12) comprising: a movable radiation source (4a, 4b, SO); a radiation detector (100); a collimator (4d) comprising adjustable geometry aperture assembly (multi-leaf collimator) configured such that an adjustment of the aperture geometry is synchronized with the movement (rotation angle) of the radiation source and coordinated with the radiation source position so as to limit the incident radiation to a predetermined exposure area at the detector.

With respect to claim 37, Brown et al. disclosed the imaging system of claim 36, wherein the aperture assembly is configured for adjusting at least one of the position of the aperture and the shape of the aperture.

With respect to claim 38, Brown et al. disclosed the imaging system of claim 36, further comprising a collimator assembly comprising a collimator positioning apparatus (501) for positioning the collimator.

With respect to claims 39 and 40, Brown et al. disclosed the imaging system of claim 36, wherein the aperture assembly comprises a plurality of movable sides (leaves in a multi-leaf collimator).

With respect to claim 41, Brown et al. disclosed the imaging system of claim 36, wherein the aperture assembly comprises multiple independently positionable sections (leaves in a multileaf collimator) with different boundary shapes (the aperture assembly has different boundary shapes depending on the positions of the positionable sections).

Page 5

With respect to claim 42, Brown et al. disclosed the imaging system of claim 41, wherein the multiple sections have linear boundaries.

With respect to claim 44, Brown et al. disclosed a method for radiation imaging, comprising: moving (502) a radiation source (4a, 4b, SO) in a plurality of radiation source positions; adjusting an aperture (4d) by synchronizing the aperture geometry adjustment with the movement of the radiation source and coordinating at least one of the position and the shape of the aperture with the respective position of the radiation source such that a radiation beam emanating from the radiation source is collimated to limit the incident radiation to a predetermined exposure area; and detecting the radiation beam on a radiation detector (100).

4. Claims 36-42 and 44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Liebetruth (U. S. Patent No. 5,377,252).

With respect to claim 36, Liebetruth disclosed a radiation imaging system comprising: a movable radiation source (4); a radiation detector (5); a collimator (6) comprising adjustable geometry aperture assembly (8) configured such that an adjustment of the aperture geometry (beam slice thickness) is synchronized with the movement (rotational angle) of the radiation source and coordinated with the radiation source position so as to limit the incident radiation to a predetermined exposure area at the detector (column 2, lines 52 - column 3, lines 19).

With respect to claim 37, Liebetruth disclosed the imaging system of claim 36, wherein the aperture assembly is configured for adjusting at least one of the position of the aperture and the shape of the aperture.

Page 6

With respect to claim 38, Liebetruth disclosed the imaging system of claim 36, further comprising a collimator assembly comprising a collimator positioning apparatus (1) for

positioning the collimator.

With respect to claims 39 and 40, Liebetruth disclosed the imaging system of claim 36,

wherein the aperture assembly comprises a plurality of movable sides (8).

With respect to claim 41, Liebetruth disclosed the imaging system of claim 36, wherein

the aperture assembly comprises multiple independently positionable sections (8) with different

boundary shapes (the aperture assembly has different boundary shapes depending on the

positions of the positionable sections).

With respect to claim 42, Liebetruth disclosed the imaging system of claim 41, wherein

the multiple sections have linear boundaries.

With respect to claim 44, Liebetruth disclosed a method for radiation imaging,

comprising: moving (1) a radiation source (4) in a plurality of radiation source positions;

adjusting an aperture (8) by synchronizing the aperture geometry adjustment with the movement

of the radiation source and coordinating at least one of the position and the shape of the aperture

with the respective position of the radiation source such that a radiation beam emanating from

the radiation source is collimated to limit the incident radiation to a predetermined exposure area;

and detecting the radiation beam on a radiation detector (5).

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed 12 July 2004 have been fully considered but they are not

persuasive.

Applicants argue that Wofford *et al.* and Brown *et al.* failed to teach an adjustable geometry aperture assembly configured such that an adjustment of the aperture geometry is synchronized with the movement of the radiation source. The examiner respectfully disagrees. Woffored *et al.* and Brown *et al.* disclosed radiation therapy treatment apparatuses that comprise a radiation source rotating about a patient to provide therapeutic radiation. As is well known in the field of radiation treatment, the radiation beam is modulated by an adjustable collimator as the radiation source rotates around the patient because the beam profile is different at each angular position of the radiation source. Thus, the geometry of the collimator is synchronized with the movement (rotation) of the radiation source. Accordingly, the rejections are maintained.

Applicants argue that Liebetruth similarly failed to teach that the aperture geometry is synchronized with the movement of the radiation source. Again, the examiner disagrees. Liebetruth clearly taught that the aperture geometry (size of the aperture, which defines the slice thickness) is synchronized with the movement (rotation) of the radiation source (column 2, lines 52 - column 3, lines 19). Accordingly, the rejection is maintained.

- 6. Applicant's arguments filed 12 July 2004 with respect to the drawings have been fully considered and are persuasive. The objection of the drawings has been withdrawn.
- 7. Applicant's arguments filed 12 July 2004 with respect to the specification have been fully considered and are persuasive. The objection of the specification has been withdrawn.
- 8. Applicant's arguments filed 12 July 2004 with respect to claims 36 and 37 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The objection of claims 36 and 37 has been withdrawn.

Application/Control Number: 10/666,188

Art Unit: 2882

Applicant's arguments filed 12 July 2004 with respect to claim 43 have been fully 9. considered and are persuasive. The rejection of claim 43 under 35 U.S.C. § 112 first paragraph has been withdrawn.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time 10. policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Allen C. Ho whose telephone number is (571) 272-2491. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 8:00 am - 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward J. Glick can be reached at (571) 272-2490. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Page 9

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

allen C Ho

Allen C. Ho Patent Examiner Art Unit 2882

22 September 2004